

Evaluation of Compost for Erosion and Sedimentation Control



Deval Patrick
Governor

Timothy P. Murray
Lieutenant Governor

Bernard Cohen
Secretary

Luisa Paiewonsky
Commissioner

August 2008

Office of Transportation Planning

SPRII.03.22.05

Technical Report Document Page

1. Report No. SPRII.03.22.05	2. Government Accession No. N/A	3. Recipient's Catalog No. N/A	
4. Title and Subtitle Evaluation of Compost for Erosion and Sedimentation Control		5. Report Date August 2008	
		6. Performing Organization Code N/A	
7. Author(s) C. L. Ho, PhD., P.E., D. A. Reckhow, Ph.D., K. Wong		8. Performing Organization Report No. UMTC-08-02	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address University of Massachusetts Transportation Center 214 Marston Hall, 130 Natural Resources Road Amherst, MA 01002		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) N/A	
		11. Contract or Grant No. ISA#0041078	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Executive Office of Transportation and Public Works 10 Park Plaza, Room 4150 Boston, MA 02116-3969		13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final Report 2005-2008	
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code N/A	
15. Supplementary Notes N/A			
16. Abstract <p>It is important to prevent sediment contained in construction site runoff, such as highway construction, from flowing offsite, where it can contaminate wetlands and other receiving waters. Hay bales and silt fences are commonly used as a sedimentation control measure around the perimeter of roadways undergoing construction. Hay bales and silt fence are MassHighway standard practice for sedimentation control. Although hay bales and silt fence are typical practice for erosion control, research suggests that compost application, in the form of blanket or berm applications, may perform better for both control of erosion and sedimentation.</p> <p>The purpose of this research is to determine the environmental acceptability of wood wastes and composted materials from various sources throughout Massachusetts to control erosion. Nutrient analytes consisted of total organic carbon, total nitrogen, and total phosphorus. Chemical analytes included nitrate, nitrite, and ammonia. Biological analytes included enterococci and E. coli. The total suspended solids and pH were also measured. Further assessment included a statistical analysis of replicate sample data to ensure repeatability of methodology. Forty total samples were collected. Synthetic precipitation was passed through each sample and the effluent was collected at various time intervals for analysis.</p> <p>Overall, concentrations decreased with leaching time, and hay samples were generally higher than compost samples in all categories. It is significant to mention that the average compost nitrogen-carbon ratio was 1:10, typical for plant organic material. Unlike compost, hay samples supported significant amounts of microbial re-growth. The compost leachate was more buffered than the hay leachate. Replicate sample data for both TOC and TN are supportive of repeatability in test methodology.</p>			
17. Key Word Compost, Control, Erosion, Nutrients, Microbial		18. Distribution Statement Document available through the sponsor organization	
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified	21. No. of Pages 184	22. Price N/A